COMPARISON OF COSEISMIC DISPLACEMENTS OBTAINED FROM GEONET AND SEISMIC NETWORKS
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ABSTRACT: The displacement time histories and final geodetic displacements obtained from the double integration of acceleration records were compared with the data from the GNSS earth observation system (GEONET). Daily coordinates of GPS stations, which have an accuracy level of some millimeters, were used to evaluate final geodetic displacements. Besides, KPPP technique was applied to obtain 1 Hz GPS displacement time histories with an accuracy level of few centimeters. Furthermore, a new procedure to correct the baseline shift of acceleration records from KiK-net sites is proposed.
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INTRODUCTION

The Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake occurred on March 11, 2011, off the Pacific coast of northeastern (Tohoku) Japan, caused large crustal displacements. According to the GNSS Earth Observation Network (GEONET) at the Geospatial Information Authority (GSI), Japan, coseismic displacements (crustal movements) with maximums of 5.3 m to the horizontal (southeast) and 1.2 m to the vertical (downward) directions were observed over a wide area (Ozawa et al. 2011). The estimation of crustal displacement is of great importance because it provides information on the slip distribution and the source location and extent. Different technologies, such as use of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) records (Ozawa et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2014), acceleration records from strong motion seismometers (Wang et al. 2013), satellite SAR imagery (Liu & Yamazaki 2013), have been employed to obtain crustal movements.

Since strong motion accelerometer networks are deployed around the world, several efforts have been carried out to obtain displacements from acceleration records. Theoretically, displacements can be calculated from acceleration records obtained by accelerometers by double integration. However, a direct application of integration procedures overestimates displacements if a baseline correction is not applied. Several comparisons between coseismic displacements obtained from acceleration records and GPS data have been done before in order to judge the performance of baseline correction methods.
Boore (2001) compared 4 pairs of stations (accelerometer and GPS stations) whose distance was less than 6.5 km. Wu & Wu (2007) used 16 pairs of stations with a distance less than 4 km. Wang et al. (2013) used 15 pairs of stations with a distance less than 3.9 km. It is observed that no big amount of data were available in order to compare the performance of baseline correction methods.

Dense strong motion networks have been established in Japan after the 1995 Hyogoken-Nanbu (Kobe) earthquake by the National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention (NIED). The Kyoshin Network (K-NET) stations are located in public offices, schools and parks. The Kiban Kyoshin network (KiK-net) stations are located in quiet places. Each KiK-net station has a borehole of around 100 m (bedrock) and strong motion accelerometers were installed at the surface and the bottom of the borehole (downhole). The total number of stations from these two networks is about 1,700.

On the other hand, the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan (GSI) operates the GNSS Earth Observation Network System (GEONET), having over 1,200 stations with about 20 km interval, and the system monitors the movement of Japanese land territory. The availability of such amount of information represents a good opportunity to compare coseismic displacement from acceleration record and GPS is provided.

In this study, the spatial distribution of coseismic displacement is estimated from displacements recorded at GEONET stations during the 2011 Tohoku earthquake. Then, the acceleration records from all the seismic stations in the study area can be used for comparing with those from GPS records. Such a large amount of data pairs will be useful in a better comparison of the baseline correction methods.

**ADJUSTMENTS OF BASELINE OFFSETS**

Numerical integration procedures can be applied to calculate displacement time histories, by a linear acceleration method as follows:

\[
\ddot{x}_{i+1} = \ddot{x}_i + \Delta t \left( \ddot{x}_i + \ddot{x}_{i+1} \right)
\]

\[
x_{i+1} = x_i + \dot{x}_i \Delta t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} \left( 2\ddot{x}_i + \ddot{x}_{i+1} \right)
\]

where \(x\), \(\dot{x}\), \(\ddot{x}\) denote the displacement, velocity, acceleration at a time instant \(i\), respectively, and \(\Delta t\) is the time interval. In most cases, the application of Eq. (1) produces an overestimated displacement.
(Figure 1c). The main reason of this effect is due to a slight shift of the baseline in the acceleration record, whose amplitude varies with time. Although this baseline shift cannot be appreciated in the acceleration record, it affects the velocity and displacement time histories (Figure 1b and 1c). The mentioned baseline shift varies in time and is quite difficult to determinate its real trend. However, most researchers estimated it as a constant value during a strong shaking of an acceleration record and another value for a latter shaking part (Iwan et al. 1985; Boore 2001; Wu & Wu 2007; Chao et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011).

The effect of baseline shift is easily observed in the velocity record, which has a linear trend for most cases (Figure 1d). Besides, the slope of this linear trend represents the baseline shift in the acceleration record. The linear trend observed during the strong shaking part and latter part can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_m(t) &= v_m0 + a_m t ; \quad t_1 < t < t_2 \\
  v_f(t) &= v_f0 + a_f t ; \quad t_2 < t \\
  v_m(t_2) &= v_f(t_2)
\end{align*}
\]

Where, \(a_m\) denotes the baseline shift between time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), and \(a_f\) the baseline shift from \(t_2\) to the end of the record. When the lines \(v_m\) and \(v_f\) are removed from the velocity record, the processed velocity is denominated as the corrected velocity record. Then, corrected displacement can be calculated. Note that there is no procedure that can recover the real strong-motion from an acceleration record and the adjective “corrected” used in this paper implies only that the linear trend has been removed. The linear trend in the latter part \(v_f\) can easily be found by a least-square fitting method, however, \(v_m\) depends on the time parameters \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), which are not directly calculated. Several approaches have been proposed to estimate \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) (Iwan et al. 1985; Wu & Wu 2007; Wang et al. 2011).

Iwan et al. (1985) propose two different approaches to estimate \(t_1\) and \(t_2\). In the first approach, the times of first and last occurrences of acceleration \(a(t) > 50 \text{cm/s}^2\) should be selected as \(t_1\) and \(t_2\). In the second approach, \(t_1\) is selected as the first significant acceleration pulse and then select \(t_2\) in a way that the final displacement is minimized. Boore (2001) showed that in some cases the final displacement is sensitive to the selection of \(t_2\). Wu & Wu (2007) pointed out that the corrected displacement record shows a flat shape in the latter shaking part, therefore they estimated \(t_1\) as the time when the displacement moves from the zero line and \(t_2\) should be chosen iteratively in a way that the corrected displacement record best fits to a ramp function. Wang et al. (2013) proposed a procedure in which \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) are estimated in an iterative procedure in a sense that the corrected displacement record best fits a step function. More detailed information of these methods can be found in the references.

**COSEISMIC DISPLACEMENT DISTRIBUTION IN THE TOHOKU EARTHQUAKE**

Coseismic displacement distribution from Kriging interpolation method

The result of spatial distribution of the coseismic displacement is presented in this section. The GEONET stations used in this study are shown in Figure 2a by blue dots. The study area contains the station were interpolated by Eq. (3) to estimate the coseismic displacement distribution:

\[
\hat{Z}(s_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i Z(s_i)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \(Z(s_i)\) denotes the measured value at location \(s_i\) (an observed displacement by GEONET), \(\hat{Z}(s_0)\) the predicted displacement, \(\lambda_i\) an unknown weight for the measured value \(Z(s_i)\), \(s_0\) the location of a predicted value, and \(N\) is the number of measured values. The weight factors \((\lambda_i)\) depend on the distance between the measured points \((s_i)\) and the prediction location \((s_0)\). The weight factors also
depend on the spatial arrangement of the measured points, which is quantified by the spatial autocorrelation. A spherical model was used to fit semivariograms and to estimate the spatial autocorrelation. The nearest fifteen GEONET stations were used to calculate a predicted displacement $\tilde{Z}(s_0)$.

The displacements at GEONET sites were calculated from the difference of its coordinates between the days 10 and 12 March 2011, which were provided by GSI. Figure 2b and 2c show the coseismic displacement distribution from Kriging method. The black arrows indicate the GPS displacememt and the colour shading indicates the displacement distribution from Kriging method. Red colour shows the area with large displacements. Rather uniform variation of displacements is observed in the horizontal component (Figure 2b); however, the vertical displacement (subsidence) is large near the coastline (Figure 2c). In order to evaluate the reliability of our results, GEONET stations 0546 and 0937 were removed from the input data values and Kriging method was applied again. The differences between the real value at the GEONET stations (0546 and 0937) and those obtained by the interpolation were less than 2%.

**Coseismic displacement distribution from acceleration records**

After coseismic displacement distribution from Kriging method became available in the whole study area, it was compared with the displacements obtained from acceleration records. In the study area, 310 K-NET stations and 198 KiK-net stations recorded the mainshock of the Tohoku earthquake. Considering that KiK-net stations have two accelerometers (bedrock and surface) and each provides three components (east-west, north-south, and up-down), a total of 2,118 records are available. In this study, several approaches were adopted to remove the baseline shift from the acceleration records and to obtain displacement time histories (Iwan et al. 1985; Chao et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011). The results from the method proposed by Wang et al. (2011) showed the best performance and agreed well with the results from Kriging method. Only the result from Wang’s method is presented in this paper.

In Figure 3, the EW component of coseismic displacements obtained from Kriging of the GEONET data and from the acceleration records are compared. The results from the accelerations recorded at bedrock agree quite well with those from Kriging method. On the contrary, it is noticed that several surface records show big difference compared with those by Kriging method. Several reasons might be attributed to some differences. The accelerometers located on the surface were affected by the site response characteristics. It has been mentioenes by Wang et al. (2013) that the
majority of K-NET stations are located in public offices, schools, parks and free-surface of softer sediments, which could produce large tilting of accelerometers. Besides, some acceleration records might present a baseline shift with nonlinear trend, which is impossible to remove by the approach of Wang et al. (2011). The NS components showed similar pattern with the EW components, which means better results were observed for KiK-net bedrock records. Overestimated values were obtained from some acceleration records to the vertical direction. The spatial distribution of coseismic displacements at KiK-net and K-NET stations is presented in Figure 4. The black and red arrows represent the displacements obtained from Kriging of the GEONET data and from the integration of acceleration records, respectively. As mentioned previously, small variation is observed in KiK-net bedrock records and larger variation is observed at K-NET and some surface KiK-net sites.

Displacement waveform from GPS data

GPS Kinematic Precise Point Positioning (KPPP) technique can estimate the position of a single GPS station without the necessity of a reference station or baseline (Zumberge et al. 1997); it represents a great advantage since during an earthquake the reference station is also in motion. However, to accomplish this technique a precise ephemerides and high accurate clocks are needed. The international GNSS Service (IGS) provides such information but take some days after a record is obtained. In this section displacement time histories are obtained from 1 Hz sampling GPS data provided by GSI. The KPPP analysis was performed using RTKLIB, an open source program package for GNSS positioning. Precise ephemerides, 30-seconds satellite clocks and absolute antenna PCV models were taken from IGS. The precise satellite position information has a sampling period of 15 min and an appropriate interpolation was performed.

Figure 5 shows the displacement time histories derived from the KPPP analysis for 10 GEONET stations. The displacement time history from the closest strong motion station is also plotted. The distance between the GEONET and strong motion stations is less than 5 km. Since the KPPP analysis guarantees the accuracy level of few centimeters and the geodetic displacements of several meters were observed during the Tohoku earthquake, the GPS records were considered as the true data. As was expected, only the results from KiK-net bedrock accelerometers look quite similar to the results from the KPPP analysis. However, from the displacement time histories at the KiK-net surface and K-NET sites, constant displacement values are observed at the later shaking part. It suggests that the linear square fitting procedure works pretty well and deviations occur in the main shaking part; which means that the time parameters $t_1$ and $t_2$, obtained from the method proposed by Wang et al. (2011) does not work well for those cases.
In this paper, we presented a new use of KiK-net stations to estimate coseismic displacement distribution. As mentioned previously, in order to remove the linear trend observed in a velocity time history correctly, a good criterion to choose the time parameters $t_1$ and $t_2$ must be determined. Previous researches on this issue determine the time parameters in a way that the corrected displacement time history best fits some shapes like a ramp function (Wu & Wu 2007) or a step function (Wang et al. 2011). In order to assure reasonable results, the parameters $t_1$ and $t_2$ are restricted in a certain interval under criteria proposed by different authors (Iwan et al. 1985; Wu & Wu 2007; Chao et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011).

The fact that KiK-net stations have two accelerometers (at borehole and surface) can be used to estimate the coseismic displacement without necessity of restraining the time parameter ($t_1$ and $t_2$) or...
fitting the result to a shape function. Considering that a residual soil deformation at the surface is much smaller than that from a geodetic displacement, the permanent displacement after an earthquake should be almost the same level at the surface and bedrock. Since baseline shifts are produced by several factors, each accelerometer is affected by those in a different manner. Therefore, only the corrected displacement obtained from the both records will produce a unique result. In order to evaluate the similarity of the corrected displacements at borehole and surface, we introduce a parameter called pseudo-variance, $S$, such as

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( D_b^j(t_1^b, t_2^b, t_1^s, t_2^s) - D_s^j(t_1^s, t_2^s) \right)^2$$

(4)

Where, $D^b$ and $D^s$ are the corrected displacements from the records at the borehole and surface, respectively. The time parameters $t_1^b, t_2^b$ belong to the strong motion recorded at the borehole and $t_1^s, t_2^s$ belong to that at the surface. The sub index $j$ denotes a position of a control point in the records and $N$ denotes the number of control points. If the number of control points is equal to the number of data, the pseudo-variance will be equal to $N$ times of the variance. The objective of our proposal is to find the combination of time parameters $(t_1^b, t_2^b, t_1^s, t_2^s)$ that will produce the minimum value of the

Figure 6. Coseismic displacement from Kriging of GEONET data and from the integration of acceleration records using the proposed joint parameter determination at KiK-net sites.

Figure 7. Comparison of displacement time histories obtained from GPS KPPP and from the proposed joint parameter determination at the same stations as in Figure 5.
pseudo-variance. There are many options to find the minimum value of \( S \); it can be obtained by a suitable windowing or by some optimization techniques. It is first necessary to express the corrected displacement of a control point as a function of the time parameters. This issue is solved in a straightforward manner from its mathematical meaning. Here, the corrected displacement at some instant represents the difference between the uncorrected displacement and the integration of a linear trend observed in the velocity history. Therefore, for a control point \( j \), the corrected displacement is expressed as:

\[
D_j = \begin{cases} 
  d_j - \int_{t_1}^{t_j} (a_m t + v_{0m}) dt & ; t_1 \leq t_j < t_2 \\
  d_j - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (a_m t + v_{0m}) dt - \int_{t_2}^{t_j} (a_f t + v_{0f}) dt & ; t_2 \leq t_j 
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

where, \( d_j \) denotes the uncorrected displacement and \( t_j \) represents the time at which the control point is located. We use fifty control points and an iterative windowing to find the time parameters. Figure 6 shows the coseismic displacement distribution after the Tohoku earthquake estimated from our proposal. The results show a better spatial variability than the results obtained only from KiK-net surface data. It demonstrates that there exist better time parameters \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) for KiK-net surface accelerometers than the ones obtained from the previous methods.

Figure 7 compare the displacement time histories from the KPPP analysis of the GEONET data and the proposed joint parameter determination of the same KiK-net stations as in Figure 5. As can be observed, the results from the KiK-net surface accelerometers have been improved by our proposed method.

CONCLUSIONS

The accuracy of coseismic displacements obtained from strong motion data after apply a suitable baseline correction method was evaluated. The displacement data recorded at GEONET sites were employed first to obtain the spatial distribution of coseismic displacements by Kriging and the results were compared with the displacements obtained from double integration of acceleration records. Reliable results were found only for the acceleration records at bedrock. A high level of variability was observed for the coseismic displacements evaluated from the acceleration records at the surface.

A method to estimate coseismic displacements by a joint parameter search at each KiK-net site was developed. Using this method, an improvement in the baseline correction for the surface records was achieved but it was not so successful for the records at the borehole. For the case of strong motion records at the surface, we demonstrated that there exist a better method to determine time parameters than the one obtained from the current methods. Quite reasonable results were obtained from our proposed method because the Tohoku-oki earthquake produced geodetic displacements with the order of some meters and thus surface soil deformation was considerably lower than those at most of the stations. Therefore, it is necessary to test the performance of the method with other events in the future.
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